

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,591	05/19/2005	Jan-Willem Van De Waerdt	US02 0465 US	7635
65913 NXP, B, V.			EXAMINER	
NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			CYGIEL, GARY W	
M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY	Y DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE, CA 95131			2188	
				г
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/20/2000	ET ECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/535,591	VAN DE WAERDT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	GARY W. CYGIEL	2188	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 20 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with a for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. 	an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the opeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request				
periods:					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the					
b) Are period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SI.	X MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY C MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st set forth in (a) above, if checked. Any repty received by the Office later than three r may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee autory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension there Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the tir AMENDMENTS 	of (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a				
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form fo appeal; and/or	r appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspond	ling number of finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See att	ached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if s non-allowable claim(s).	submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	v or appointed.				
Claim(s) bijected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 3 T CFR 1.116(e).					
I '	f Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief will not be				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
See Continuation Sheet.					
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/0 13. ☐ Other:	8) Paper No(s)				
/OW O:					
	asmine Song/				
Examiner, Art Unit 2188 Pr	imary Examiner, Art Unit 2188				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's arguements with respect to the Sherwood reference are not persuasive.

- [A] Re: No teaching of "accessing and updating" -only- upon a cache miss.
- The applicant is directed to the discussion of the Strive-Markov predictor in section 4.2 which states that "The prediction table is only updated on a miss."
- [B] Re: No clear description of what is a "first memory circuit" in Figure 3.
- The any and all of Figure 3 is considered a memory circuit. A person of even elementary skill in the art would readily recognize figure 3 as being a circuit of a first memory or "first memory circuit."
- [C] Re: Cache memory circuit and SPT in the same physical memory space.
- In the broadest reasonable interpretation, all of the memory elements of Figure 3 create a physical memory space. Therefore all elements within figure 3 are within -a- physical memory space.
- [D] Re: Size of address field being less than size of address space.

The previously cited portions of Sec 4.2 teaches reducing the number of bits required by storing a difference rather than an absolute address. In addition, because the predictors (including the stride predictor) do not store -all- the addresses in the address space, a single field is necessarily smaller than the entire space used to index the SPT.

[E] Re: 35 USC 103(a) rejections are improper.

The applicant appears to suggest that fundamental, notoriously well-known, and common concepts of computer architecture would not be obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. MPEP 2141 includes the following:

In KSR, the Supreme Court particularly emphasized "the need for caution in granting a patent based on the combination of elements found in the prior art,"Id. at ____, 82 USPQ2d at 1395, and discussed circumstances in which a patent might be determined to be obvious. Importantly, the Supreme Court reaffirmed principles based on its precedent that "[the combination of familiar elements according to known methods is likely to be obvious when it does no more than yield predictable results."Id. at ___, 82 USPQ2d at 1395.

In the current instance, the combination does no more than yield predictable results.